Building Risk Table

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Risks** | **Category** | **Probability** | **Impact** | **RMMM** |
| Estimated size of project | PS | 80% | 2 |  |
| Team members do not work well together | ST | 20% | 2 |  |
| Key personnel are only part-time | ST | 20% | 4 |  |
| The product take more than time expected to design and implement for unfamiliar areas | DE | 50% | 2 |  |
| Lack of needed specialization increases defects and reworks | ST | 40% | 2 |  |
| Development of extra software functions that are not needed | DE | 20% | 3 |  |
| Operations in unfamiliar software environment causes unforeseen problems | TE | 25% | 4 |  |
| Strict requirements for compatibility with existing system | DE | 20% | 3 |  |
| Components developed separately cannot be integrated easily, requiring redesign | DE | 30% | 3 |  |
| Development of the wrong software functions requires redesign and implementation | DE | 5% | 3 |  |
| Finding will be lost | CU | 40% | 1 |  |
| Customer will change requirement | PS | 100% | 4 |  |
| Technology will not meet expectations | TE | 30% | 1 |  |
| Staff inexperienced | ST | 30% | 2 |  |
| Staff turnover will be high | ST | 70% | 2 |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Impacts Value:**  1-> Catastrophic || 2-> Critical || 3-> Marginal || 4-> Negligible |